

Using medical practice variation research for policy: a practical guide

Joost Wammes, MSc,
Prof. dr. Gert Westert
Dr. Stef Groenewoud

IQ healthcare // Celsus academy for sustainable healthcare



Zorginstituut Nederland

IQ Scientific Institute for
Quality of Healthcare



Radboudumc

Background

- The Netherlands has seen an increase in medical practice variation research
 - Applications are diverse and the validity of some methods and findings are debated
- Ambiguities with regard to methods and interpretation hamper implementation of relevant findings

Objectives

To offer guidance

- Aimed at researchers
 - Offer a range of alternative methods, discuss pro- and cons
 - Aimed at a larger audience (policy makers)
 - Introduce the scientific basis of practice variation research
 - Guide the interpretation of findings
- To make sure medical practice variation research helps in improving health care, thus creating actionable knowledge
-

Methods

- Pragmatic literature search
 - Drawing on previous experiences
 - Seminal articles
 - Snowball search
 - Comparison of prominent methodologies (country studies)
 - Atlas VPM, Dartmouth, Bertelmanns Stiftung, King's Fund, OECD
 - Consensus procedure
 - WIC Berlin
 - Present draft guidance to stakeholders
-

Methods

- Pragmatic literature search
 - Drawing on previous experience
 - Seminal articles
 - Snowball search
 - Comparison of prominent methodologies (country studies)
 - Atlas VPM, Dartmouth, Bertelsmann Stiftung, King's Fund, OECD
 - Consensus procedure
 - **WIC Berlin**
 - Present draft guidance to stakeholders
-

Methods (2): country studies

- Data
 - Scope of topics
 - Research period
 - Definition of regions
 - Unit of analysis
 - Definition of indicators
 - Standardization for ..
 - Direct vs indirect standardization
 - Statistical models
 - Referral patterns and specialized care
 - Representation and appraisal of regional variations
-

Methods (3)

- Data
- Scope of topics
- Research period
- Definition of regions
- Unit of analysis
- Definition of indicators
- Standardization for
- Direct vs indirect standardization
- Statistical models
- Referral patterns and specialized care
- Representation and appraisal of regional variations

➤ Many different alternatives, what to make of it?

Results (1): how to define a region?

Aim: high internal consistency, all relevant citizens

- Patient origin method:

- On the basis of health care use
- + It follows health care use and providers of populations of interest
- Lots of data (and manipulation) are needed

- Geopolitical boundaries

- On the basis of set organizational boundaries
- + Makes sense to policy makers
- Geopolitical boundaries do not reflect health care markets, neither for citizens nor for providers

Results (2): how to define a region?

Aim: high internal consistency, all relevant citizens

- Define virtual networks
 - On the basis of health care use
 - + Promising method, especially for chronic conditions
 - We don't have experience with the method in the Netherlands

Preliminary recommendations

- Patient-origin method is valued over the geopolitical boundary method
 - Geopolitical boundary method may be the best alternative in case you're interested in the role of the legislative agent itself
 - Create subregions within the organizational unit
 - Evaluate the 'success' of your regions
-

Results (3)

Frameworks for understanding and studying practice variation

- At least five were found in a recent literature review¹
- Frameworks help building knowledge and draw new hypotheses

1 Framework of clinical decision making is the most prominent one

2 Alternative: sociological model

➤ Be explicit about why you do(n't) incorporate which factors in your analysis

¹ Mercuri et al. 2011. Journal of evaluation in clinical practice.

Results (4)

Frameworks for understanding and studying practice variation

- Sociological model for understanding medical practice variation¹
 1. Time
 2. Context
 3. Differences between patients and shared decision making
- Three mechanisms that explain medical practice variation
 1. Selection
 2. Gradual adaptation
 3. Circumstances

¹ Westert et al. 1999. Scand J Public Health

Results (5)

Frameworks for understanding and studying practice variation

- Framework of clinical decision making¹
 1. Variation in patient demand
 2. Variation in physician beliefs
 3. Variation of incorporation of patient preferences
- Three categories of care
 1. Effective care: underuse
 2. Preference sensitive care: misuse
 3. Supply sensitive care: overuse

¹ Birkmeyer et al. 2013. Lancet

Results (6): three approaches

- Residual approach
 - Most prominent
 - Correction for need; residual variation is labeled unwarranted variation
 - To identify and describe variation -> guiding future research
 - Indirect approach
 - Including (explanatory) additional factors or data in the model
 - Provider characteristics, PROM-data, patient preferences
 - Direct approach
 - Care is evaluated on standards of care; data allow you to determine appropriateness
-

Results (7): three approaches

1. Effective care?  Yes → Direct approach
No → Residual approach (2.)

2. Residual approach:
significant variation?  Yes → Indirect method (3.)
No → Find another topic

3. Indirect method: build and test alternative hypotheses,
either testing hypothesis related to the patient or provider

Discussion

What topics should further be addressed?

- Magnitude of variation
- How to account for private providers who distort public picture?
- ...